

# CONTENTS

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <b>EDITORIAL COMMENT</b>                           | <b>Picking up the pieces</b>  | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>NEWS</b>  | <b>The US:</b> Fed to shake up mortgage regulation... • ... and SEC battles with CFTC • Egan-Jones gets SEC registration • Li settles with SEC • Tremors in the monoline market • G7 forecasts \$400bn subprime credit loss.<br><b>The UK:</b> UK nationalises Northern Rock... • ... Sandler's plans for the future... • ... recovery likely to be long and difficult... • ... and possible clash with Brussels • FSA's Sants warns of tough times • FSA's staffing moves • Listings shake-up • Hedge funds agree voluntary standards.<br><b>Europe:</b> Germany ponders unilateral bank regulation... • ... and Spain has a gloomy message • EU to prosecute MiFID failures • SocGen's "rogue trader" • Greater transparency required from investment banks • A single rule book for Europe?<br><b>International:</b> BIS warns over "Balkanisation" of regulation • Rating agencies under the spotlight • China sets up subprime taskforce... • ... and extends curb on bank lending • TSE chief attacks Japan's corporate governance • South Korea plans tighter foreign investment rules • Unregulated banks banned by Second Life • IAIS launches online tutorials • Basel Committee takes on liquidity risk • IOSCO calls for more disclosure from raters. | <b>3</b>   |
| <b>REGULATORY PRIORITIES AND THE CREDIT CRUNCH</b> | <b>Should we review Basel II?</b><br>Arguments about reforming Britain's financial regulatory system miss the point, argues <b>Michael Foot</b> . It is the global system that really needs attention.<br><b>Originate and disintegrate</b><br>Securitisation and the increasingly widespread use of complex financial instruments have revealed many regulatory systems as inadequate, says <b>Michael Taylor</b> .<br><b>Time to dismember?</b><br>The credit crunch has shown that it is the biggest banks that make the biggest mistakes in their search for ever-higher returns. It's time to break them up, says <b>Peter Hahn</b> .<br><b>Grappling with uncertainty</b><br><b>Hans Blommestein</b> looks at the causes of the credit crunch and considers the many regulatory questions it has generated.   | <b>15</b><br><b>19</b><br><b>25</b><br><b>33</b> |

# THE Financial Regulator

Volume 12 Number 4 – March 2008

|                           |  |           |
|---------------------------|--|-----------|
| <b>GERMANY</b>            | <b>Interview: Jochen Sanio</b><br><b>Klaus Engelen</b> interviews the president of Bafin about the supervisory implications of what the regulator calls the “nightmare of toxic waste in the international financial system.”  | <b>41</b> |
| <b>NEW YORK VS LONDON</b> | <b>A tale of two cities</b><br>With New York and London both vying to be the world’s undisputed financial centre, <b>Vica Irani</b> and <b>Will Wesley</b> look at how regulation has become a competitive weapon.   | <b>47</b> |
| <b>EUROPE</b>             | <b>Moving pan-European regulation forward</b><br>At a time of unprecedented market turbulence, proper supervision and regulation of markets is more essential than ever, argue <b>Arthur Docters van Leeuwen</b> and <b>Wim Moeliker</b> .   | <b>53</b> |
|                           | <b>European supervision in the spotlight</b><br>Yet to be tested by a continent-wide crisis, European financial regulation must show it can adapt to a rapidly changing environment, write <b>Martin Cihák</b> and <b>Alexander Tieman</b> .   | <b>59</b> |
| <b>BOOKNOTES</b>          | <b>One regulator good?</b><br><b>Richard Pratt</b> enjoys two new books which investigate whether unified authorities make better regulators: <b>Designing Financial Supervision Institutions</b> , edited by Donato Masciandaro and Marc Quintyn, and <b>The Structure of Financial Regulation</b> , edited by David Mayes and Geoffrey Wood. | <b>69</b> |